NOV 0 3 2006

1109-06

U.S.P.S. EXPRESS MAIL "POST OFFICE TO ADDRESSEE" SERVICE DEPOSIT INFORMATION

Express Mail Label No.: EV 314010933 US

Date of Deposit: November 8, 2006

BRINKS HOFER GILSON &LIONE

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re	Appln. of:	Norbe	rt Hub	er et al.								
Appln. No.:		10/656,924						Examiner: Deschere, Andrew M.				
Filed:		September 5, 2003						Art Unit: 2836				
For:		Charging Circuit For a Frequency Convert					verter					
Attor	ney Docke	t No:	56/41	14								
Comm P. O. I	top AF nissioner for F Box 1450 ndria, VA 223	•)		,		т	RANSI	/IIT	TAL		
Sir:												
\boxtimes	A petition or	eipt Posto	card. equired. n amou ng fee ii		under	37	C.F.R. §		_).	1	§ 1.136(a).	
Total			Minus				x \$25=			x \$50=		
Indep.	·		Minus				X100=			x \$200=	<u> </u>	
First Pr	resentation of N	Multiple De	ep. Claim	<u> </u>		L	+\$180=		-	+ \$360=	ļ	
							Total	\$		Total	\$	
	Please chargenclosed for Payment by The Director § 1.16 and (including a	ge Depos this purp credit ca is hereb any pate ny exter	sit Accou loose. rd in the by autho ent appl nsion fe	is enclosed. unt No. 23-1925 in the amount of \$ rized to charge paylication processing se required to ensount No. 23-1925.	(Form PT) ment of a fees undo sure that Resp	O-: iny er th	2038 is a addition 37 CFR is paper	ttached). al filing fee § 1.17 as is timely mitted,	s rec socia filec	quired un ated with d), or to	der 37 CFR this paper credit any	
Date					John	U.	. r-reemal	n, Esq. (Re	y. IVC	J. 34,403	,	

BRINKS HOFER GILSON &LIONE

NOV 0 8 2006

Qate of Deposit: November 8, 2006

PATENT CASE NO. 56/414

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re	Application:)
)
Norbe	ert Huber et al.)
) Group Art Unit: 2836
)
Serial	No.: 10/656,924)
) Examiner: Deschere, Andrew M.
Filed:	September 5, 2003)
	•)
For:	CHARGING CIRCUIT FOR A)
	FREQUENCY CONVERTER)

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116

Mail Stop AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This Amendment is in response to the Office Action mailed on August 9, 2006. This Amendment was not earlier presented because it responds to a new rejection of claim 7 and present arguments that the August 9th Office Action should not have been made final. The Amendment is also necessary and not previously presented because it amends the claims so that allowable subject remains as indicated by the Office Action. Since claims 1, 2, 4 and 5 have been canceled and the claims have been amended to contain allowable subject matter, the issues for Appeal have been reduced. In addition, it is not believed that the present Amendment will require a new search to be made on the part of the Examiner. Accordingly, please cancel claims 1, 2, 4 and 5 without prejudice and amend claims 3, 6, 7 and 9 as follows: